



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,263	05/29/2001	Mark J. Pivac	01-307L 5396	
719	7590 03/03/2004		EXAMINER	
CATERPIL		DRAPER, DEANN L		
PATENT DE	AMS STREET PT.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PEORIA, IL	616296490		3616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/885,263	PIVAC, MARK J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deanna L. Draper	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
_ :	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the sh	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under
 b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed,	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,14-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 .	
10. Other:		10.1	44
DEANGUERAPER PATENT EXAMINER		. N. DICKSON Y PATENT EXAMINER	6/04
. Patent and Trademark Office		OGY CENTER 3600	

- Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/885,263

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1 and 14 would require further search and consideration regarding a controller calculating an average slope value of the terrain the machine is traversing, rather than just the average slope value.